Library	Ward	Impact on Education of Children and Young People Rank	Weighting (25%)	Adherence to aspirations of Improved Outcomes Rank		City Growth Rank	Weighting (20%)	Ward Population 0-	Weighting (10%)	Running Costs	Weighting (10%)	Positive response from Partner Organisations	Combined	Overall Rank
North Prospect														
Library	Ham	6	1.50	1	0.35	1	0.20	2	0.20	4	0.40	Yes	3.65	I
Estover Library	Moor View	3	0.75	2	0.70	2	0.40	6	0.60	8	0.80	Yes	4.25	2
Peverell Library	Peverell	I	0.25	4	1.40	4	0.80	5	0.50	6	0.60	Yes	4.55	3
Efford Library	Efford and Lipson	8	2.00	Į.	0.35	6	1.20	I	0.10	7	0.70	Yes	5.35	4
Stoke Library	Stoke	2	0.50	3	1.05	7	1.40	7	0.70	5	0.50	No	6.15	5
West Park Library	Honicknowle	7	1.75	Į.	0.35	6	1.20	3	0.30	9	0.90	No	6.50	6
Tothill Library	Sutton and Mount Gould	5	1.25	5	1.75	5	1.00	8	0.80	I	0.10	No	6.90	7
Ernesettle Library	Honicknowle	10	2.50	5	1.75	3	0.60	3	0.30	2	0.20	No	7.35	8
Eggbuckland Library	Eggbuckland	4	1.00	5	1.75	7	1.40	4	0.40	10	1.00	No	7.55	9
Laira Library	Efford and Lipson	9	2.25	5	1.75	7	1.40	I	0.10	3	0.30	No	7.80	10

Library	Ward	Regular use by Educational Organisations	Pupils Affected (Regular users)	Overall rank
Peverell Library	Peverell	2	961	I
North Prospect Library	Ham	2	661	2
Stoke Library	Stoke	2	595	3
Estover Library	Moor View	3	359	4
Efford Library	Efford and Lipson	0	0	5
Eggbuckland Library	Eggbuckland	0	0	5
Ernesettle Library	Honicknowle	0	0	5
Laira Library	Efford and Lipson	0	0	5
Tothill Library	Sutton and Mount Gould	0	0	5
West Park Library	Honicknowle	0	0	5

The greater the impact on a larger number of pupils, the higher the rank

Library	Reading & Literacy*	Information & History*	Digital*	Health & Wellbeing*	Education*	Suitable Meeting Room space (current or potential to include; Yes or No)**	Suitability and Sustainability (S&S) of building (score)	S&S Rank
Efford Library	I	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	I	4	1
North Prospect Library	I	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	I	4	1
West Park Library	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	I	4	1
Estover Library	I	0.5	0.5	0	ı	0	3	2
Stoke Library	I	0	0	0.5	0	I	2.5	3
Peverell Library	ı	0	0	0	0	I	2	4
Eggbuckland Library	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Ernesettle Library	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Laira Library	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Tothill Library	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

*If building can meet the needs of the future criteria above, score of I is assigned; **Yes is assigned score of if part, then 0.5 assigned; if not, then score of 0 assigned. Definitions of the criteria can be found in the Plan for Libraries

0 the separate criteria ranks (columns D to H) together

The more suitable a building is to adhere to the future aspirations of the library service to improved outcomes, the higher the rank

		Previous investment in		City Housing	New Homes to		Combined	
Library	Ward	library	Score	Growth area	be built*	Rank	Total	Rank
North Prospect Library	Ham	Yes	I	Yes	1107	I	2	1
Estover Library	Moor View	Yes	1	Yes	933	2	3	2
Ernesettle Library	Honicknowle	No	2	Yes	165	3	5	3
Peverell Library	Peverell	No	2	Yes	57	4	6	4
Tothill Library	Sutton and Mount Gould	No	2	Yes	50	5	7	5
West Park Library	Honicknowle	No	2	Yes	13	6	8	6
Efford Library	Efford and Lipson	Yes	ı	Yes	5	7	8	6
Eggbuckland Library	Eggbuckland	No	2	No	0	8	10	7
Laira Library	Efford and Lipson	No	2	No	0	8	10	7
Stoke Library	Stoke	No	2	No	0	8	10	7

 $[\]ensuremath{^*}$ Information taken from the Joint Local Plan; Plymouth Plan aspects for Housing

North Prospect and Devonport Libraries have been used as vanguards of the Regeneration Schemes in the South West of the City Efford Library part of the Efford Neighbourhood Regeneration Scheme, funded via SWRDA (no clawback can be enacted)

The higher the potential for impact on the library due to increased growth, the higher the rank

Library	Ward	Total population per ward*	Population 0-17*	Proportion of population in Ward aged 0-17	Rank
Efford Library	Efford and Lipson	12,408	3,598	29%	1
Laira Library	Efford and Lipson	12,408	3,598	29%	1
North Prospect Library	Ham	13,586	3,532	26%	2
Ernesettle Library	Honicknowle	13,751	3,300	24%	3
West Park Library	Honicknowle	13,751	3,300	24%	3
Eggbuckland Library	Eggbuckland	14,920	3,133	21%	4
Peverell Library	Peverell	13,341	2,802	21%	5
Estover Library	Moor View	17,180	3,092	18%	6
Stoke Library	Stoke	12,899	2,193	17%	7
Tothill Library	Sutton and Mount Gould	11,486	1,838	16%	8

*Figures from the 2011 Census

Higher proportion of younger people in a ward means higher rank

	Running costs (General Ledger figures 15/16 inc.				
Library	rent)		Rank		
Tothill Library	£	1,492.64	1		
Ernesettle Library	£	4,523.15	2		
Laira Library	£	5,126.11	3		
North Prospect Library	£	10,143.02	4		
Stoke Library	£	11,484.18	5		
Peverell Library	£	18,123.87	6		
Efford Library	£	21,169.23	7		
Estover Library	£	23,523.33	8		
West Park Library	£	36,605.29	9		
	Unknown (fal	ls under the			
Eggbuckland Library	schools costs)		10		

The lower the running costs, the higher the rank